This article was downloaded by: [Renmin University of China] On: 13 October 2013, At: 10:48 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20

Comment on the section: "Antioxidant measurements and hydroxyl radical scavenging activity" in synthesis, characterization, DNA binding, and antioxidant activities of four copper(II) complexes containing N-(3hydroxybenzyl)-amino amide ligands, by Zhi Li-Hua, Wu Wei-Na, Wang Yuan, Sun Guang, J. Coord. Chem., 66, 227 (2013)

Dan Meyerstein^{a b}

^a Biological Chemistry Department, Ariel University, Ariel, Israel ^b Chemistry Department, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev,

Beer-Sheva, Israel Accepted author version posted online: 22 Apr 2013. Published

online: 24 May 2013.

To cite this article: Dan Meyerstein (2013) Comment on the section: "Antioxidant measurements and hydroxyl radical scavenging activity" in synthesis, characterization, DNA binding, and antioxidant activities of four copper(II) complexes containing N-(3-hydroxybenzyl)-amino amide ligands, by Zhi Li-Hua, Wu Wei-Na, Wang Yuan, Sun Guang, J. Coord. Chem., 66, 227 (2013), Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 66:12, 2076-2078, DOI: <u>10.1080/00958972.2013.796511</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2013.796511</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content

should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



SHORT COMMUNICATION

Comment on the section: "Antioxidant measurements and hydroxyl radical scavenging activity" in synthesis, characterization, DNA binding, and antioxidant activities of four copper(II) complexes containing N-(3-hydroxybenzyl)amino amide ligands, by Zhi Li-Hua, Wu Wei-Na, Wang Yuan, Sun Guang, J. Coord. Chem., 66, 227 (2013)

DAN MEYERSTEIN*

Biological Chemistry Department, Ariel University, Ariel, Israel; Chemistry Department, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

(Received 2 April 2013; in final form 2 April 2013)

This comment, though addressed to a given paper [1], relates to the general approach for determining the antioxidant activity of various compounds by measuring their reactivity towards hydroxyl radicals. Two points are addressed:

- (1) Do antioxidants added to living biological samples scavenge hydroxyl radicals?
- (2) Do the assays used to determine hydroxyl radical scavenging by different antioxidants measure indeed this property?

As to the first question: hydroxyl radicals are known as the most active and, therefore, the most dangerous Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS). Hydroxyl radicals react with rate constants approaching the diffusion-controlled limit, $k > 1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, with all alcohols of the type RCH₂OH and R¹R²CHOH, except methanol (e.g. with all sugars); with all ethers of the type R¹R²CHOCR³R⁴R⁵ and esters of the type R¹R²CHOC(O)CR³R⁴R⁵ (e.g. with all phospholipids); with all R¹SH groups (e.g. with glutathione and cysteine); with all amines of the type R¹R²CHNR³R⁴; (where R^{*i*} = H or substituted alkyl) with all alkenes (e.g. with all unsaturated acids); and with all aromatic compounds (e.g. with all nucleic acids and with the residues of the high concentrations of these compounds in biological systems, one cannot add any antioxidant to a living biological system at concentrations that will enable it to inhibit the reactions of hydroxyl radicals with the natural components of the cells.

If so, how do antioxidants inhibit oxidative stress?

^{*}Email: danmeyer@bgu.ac.il

- (1) They inhibit the formation of hydroxyl radicals by reacting with their precursors, e.g. with H₂O₂ or ONOO⁻, or by inhibiting the redox recycling of various transition metals, e.g. Fe(III/II); Cu(II/I), thus inhibiting the formation of hydroxyl radicals [3, 4].
- (2) They react with the secondary radicals R¹R²R³C[•] formed in the reactions of the hydroxyl radicals with the different components of the cells. In aerated systems, as in most biological systems, these radicals react with O₂ to form the R¹R²R³CO₂ radicals. The R¹R²R³C[•] and R¹R²R³CO₂ radicals are considerably less reactive than the hydroxyl radicals and, therefore, react more selectively with the different components of the cells. The scavenging of these radicals by the antioxidants inhibits the latter reactions and often terminates the radical chain processes.

As to the second question, does the assay used [1] to determine "hydroxyl radical scavenging" indeed measure this property? The answer is clearly no! Due to the following reasons:

- Clearly, Fe(II)edta does not exist in aerated solutions as it is oxidized in a fast reaction by O₂.
- (2) As $k(OH + DMF) = 1.7 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ [2] and CuL₂ complexes were dissolved in DMF; clearly, hydroxyl radicals reacted with the DMF and not with the complexes.
- (3) In the assay solution [H₂O₂]>1000[Fe^{III/II}edta], i.e. the catalyst produces many radicals via the sequence:

$$Fe^{II}edta + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{III}edta + OH + OH^-$$

or

$$Fe^{III}edta + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{II}edta + O_2^{-} + 2H^{-}$$

 $O_2^{\text{--}} + Fe^{III}edta \rightarrow Fe^{II}edta + O_2$

 $OH^{\cdot} + RH \rightarrow R^{\cdot} + H_2O$ or $OH^{\cdot} + R^1R^2C = CR^3R^4 \rightarrow R^{\cdot}$

 $R' + O_2 \rightarrow RO'_2$

 $Fe^{III}edta + RO_2^{-} \rightarrow Fe^{II}edta + RO_2^{+}(ROH + O_2)$

$$Fe^{II}edta + RO_2 \rightarrow Fe^{III}edta + RO_2H$$

The antioxidant activities of copper complexes are due to their role in shortening this chain process. The detailed mechanism of the role of the copper complexes is unclear, but it probably involves:

$$\begin{split} & Cu^{II}L_2 + RO_2^{\textbf{\cdot}} \rightarrow L_2 Cu^{III} \textbf{-}_2 OR \\ & L_2 Cu^{III} \textbf{-}_2 OR + RO_2^{\textbf{\cdot}} \rightarrow Cu^{II}L_2 + \text{products} \end{split}$$

The role of the ligands in such mechanisms is to inhibit the reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I), as do Fe(III) ligands used in medicine [3, 4].

The main conclusion of this comment is that many hydroxyl radical scavenging assays really do not measure "hydroxyl scavenging" as claimed but shorten the radical chain reaction.

References

- [1] L.-H. Zhi, W.-N. Wu, Y. Wang, G. Sun. J. Coord. Chem., 66, 227 (2013).
- [2] G.V. Buxton, C.L. Greenstock, W.P. Helman, A.B. Ross. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 17, 513 (1988).
- [3] K. Jomova, M. Valko. Toxicology, 283, 65 (2011).
- [4] K. Jomova, S. Baros, M. Valko. Transition Met. Chem., 37, 127 (2012).